IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 6418 of 1996

Between:
Ch.Sitaram Rao S/o.Gopal Rao
Sub-Court, Mahabubnagar Mahabubnagar District
..... PETITIONER

AND

The District & Sessions Judge Mahabubnagar Dist
Mahabubnagar
.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, writ or direction more in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Deputy Nazir/UDC(Sr.Assistant) from the date on which his juniors were promoted i.e., 14/05/1995 with all consequential benefits by declaring the action of the respondent in not considering the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of UDC while considering the juniors to the applicant as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, violative of rules and also subversive of Art.14 and 16 of the Constitution of India and pass such other or further orders as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

For the Petitioner:MR.M.JAGAPATHI RAO ,Advocate, (Not present)

For the Respondent : SMT.M.BHASKARA LAKSHMI (SC FOR APHC)

The Court Made the Following :

-
-
-
-
-
-
-

ORAL ORDER (per HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Petitioner, in this petition, has sought direction against the respondent to consider his case for promotion to the post of Deputy Nazir/UDC (Sr. Asst.) since his juniors were promoted. Petition was contested by the respondent, for which counter affidavit was filed. Nobody has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner when case was taken up. Learned counsel for the respondent states that as per her instructions petitioner was promoted with effect from 4-3-1999 as UDC/Sr.Assistant and has since been retired from service on 28-2-2002. It appears that petitioner has no interest in prosecuting the writ petition.

Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

DEVINDER GUPTA. C.J.,

_____

G. ROHINI. J.,

Dated: 22.06.2004.

KVRK.

To

1.The District & Sessions Judge Mahabubnagar Dist
Mahabubnagar
2. 2 CD copies.